

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,273	06/20/2003	Qinghong K. Gao	015290-704	6096
7590 12/14/2005			EXAMINER	
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.			DHINGRA, RAKESH KUMAR	
P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, V	Alexandra, VA 22313-1404		1763	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/600,273	GAO ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rakesh K. Dhingra	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
HE REPLY FILED 30 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, at Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 706.07(f). te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	ng date of the final rejection. IE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN .136(a) and the appropriate extension fee	
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lamay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	e shortened statutory period for reply origiter than three months after the mailing display.	ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as ate of the final rejection, even if timely filed,	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in corfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exa Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file MENDMENTS 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t ed within the time period set forth in	to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	consideration and/or search (see NC elow);	OTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in tappeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1		•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendment canceling the	
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	a) will not be entered, or b) vrovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	but before or on the date of filing a fand sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered avit or other evidence is necessary and	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess 	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears ary and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana	tion of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 1. The request for reconsideration has been considered	but does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s13. ☐ Other:). (P10/SB/08 or P10-1449) Paper	NO(S)	
10. [] 0.1101			

PARVÍZ HASSANZADEH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Rakesh K Dhingra

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

ليسم الريد

Application No. 10/600,273

Continuation of 3. NOTE: because amended claims 1,8 would still be rejected as being unpatentable over Shamouilian et al in view of Felts and Matsuda et al as was done in the previous office action.